Case 1:08-cv-01442-AKH Do	cument 1	Filed 02/04/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
Annmarie Intorcia		DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiff	fs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defenda	nts.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Comp			•
N	OTICE OF A	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the I instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein			± •

the Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '\(\vec{\su}\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ANNMARIE INTORCIA, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1 individua	al and	✓ Plaintiff, ANNM a citizen of New Yo				•	
			\mathcal{E}	(OR)	,	,	
2	·•	Alternatively, \square		is the		of Decedent	
		, and brings this	claim in his (l	ner) capacity	as of the Es	state of	

Ca	ase 1.06-cv-01442-AKH Documen	it i Filed 02/04/2006 Page 2 01 11
3.		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plain	tiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times, and bri	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff
	☐ Parent ☐ Child ☐	Other:
4. Police Depar	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 11/1/2001 to	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout 9/11/2001 until 9/15/2001;	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximate	ely <u>10</u> hours per day; for ely <u>5</u> days total.	Description of the control of the co
From on or a Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ely hours per day; for ely days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or a Approximate	h Kills Landfill bout; bly hours per day; for bly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue t	-	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
	Please read this do	cumont carotully

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	\square ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC. ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
☐ More than thirty days have passed and the City has not adjusted the claim	
, and the second	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
(OR)	
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a determination	CORP
	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
is pending	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
□ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] ☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted tills claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
LI / WORLD IRADE COMPANI, L.F.	LIC VAINS EN VIRONIVIEN LAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

OTHER: _

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-01442-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers: ☐ Contested, b	Jurisd	
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28		
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:08-cv-01442-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Da	ate of onset: ate physician first connected this injury to TC work:			Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Da Da	espiratory Injury: Shortness of Breath ate of onset: To be supplied at a later date ate physician first connected this injury to TC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Da Da	gestive Injury: N/A. ate of onset: ate physician first connected this injury to TC work:		V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Ground Z damages:	Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	f th	ne injur	ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the

dama	damages:		
==== √	Pain and suffering		
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
V	Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring		

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $\label{eq:power_power} Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York February 1, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Annmarie Intorcia

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York February 1, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	Annmarie Intorcia,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	☐ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order